

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	I	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/928,881	09/928,881 08/13/2001		Konstantin Konson DE92000007		8141
48115	7590	06/20/2006		EXAMINER	
HOLLAN	D & KNI	GHT LLP	TSAI, SHENG JEN		
701 BRICK SUITE 300		3		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
MIAMI, FI	33131		2186		
				DATE MAILED: 06/20/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS. WHIGHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Statement of time may be availate under the provious of 37 CR1-138(). In the event, however, may a reply be timely find If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (8) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to select the mailing date of this communication. Failure to select the mailing date of this communication. Failure to select the mailing date of this communication. Failure to select the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any selected plant in the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any selected plant in the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any selected any selected to select the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any selected any selected to select the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any selected any selected to selected to selected the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any selected any selected to selected to selected to selected the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any selected any selected to selected to selected to selected the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any selected any selected to		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Sheng-Jen Tsai Shen		09/928,881	KONSON ET AL.				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address—Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Excitations of tume may be availate under the provision of 37° FR 1.13(e), and yet apply be simily find I NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SX (e) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to reply within the set or retained period for reply will be stated. Proper of the property within the set or retained period for reply will stated, cause the application to boom ABANDONED (30 ± 0.5 ± 13). Any reply received by the Office liter than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filled, may reduce any search giants in adjustment. Set 57° FR 1.74(e). Status Status Status Status This action is FINAL. 20 This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex partie Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1.24 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5 Claim(s) 1.24 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6 Claim(s) 1.24 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to by the Examiner. 10 The drawing(s) filed on isobjected to by the Examiner. 10 The drawing(s) filed on isobjected to by the Examiner. 10 The drawing(s) filed on isobjected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. **Profirity under 35 U.S.C. § 119 11 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in his National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). **See the attached detail	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
Period for Repty A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. The SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. The SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) on the similar date of this communication. In 1985 (8) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. IN 0 pencif or reply is specified below, the maximum statutory period wile application, even the mailing date of this communication. In 1985 (8) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication, even if Inney first, may reduce this communication. Falling between the statutory of the communication, even if Inney first, may reduce this communication, even if Inney first, may reduce this communication, even if Inney first, may reduce this communication. This action is FINAL. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Exparte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-24 is/are pending in the application. 4) Claim(s) 1-24 is/are allowed. 5) Claim(s) 1-24 is/are allowed. 5) Claim(s) 1-24 is/are allowed. 5) Claim(s) 1-24 is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-24 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 1-34 is/are allowed. 8) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on 1-15 is/are: all 10 accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Application Papers 9) The proving a subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The drawing(s) filed on 1-15 is/are: all 10 accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on 1-15 is/are: all 10 accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on 1-15 is/are: all 10 accepted or b) object			i i				
WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 FR1.18(b). In no event, nower, may a cept be timely fired after SIX (b) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication of 37 FR1.18(b) and the SIX (b) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication of the provision of the pro	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parle Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-24 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) 1-24 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Prority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3 Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3 Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3	WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	l. ely filed the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final. 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parle Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-24 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ☐ Claim(s) 1-24 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Prority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	Status						
3	1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 09 M	a <u>y 2006</u> .					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-24 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Certified Copies of the Certified Copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Notice of Informalia Patent Application (PTO-152) Notice of Informalia Patent Application (PTO-152) Notice of Informalia Patent Application (PTO-152) Online Inform	2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)☐ This	action is non-final.					
Claim(s) 1-24 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5]		Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
4a) Claim(s) <u>f-24</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5] Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6] Claim(s) <u>f-24</u> is/are rejected. 7] Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8] Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9] The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10] The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11] The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12] Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * o) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. **Attachment(s) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	3 O.G. 213.				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5)	Disposition of Claims						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. **Attachment(s) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☒ Claim(s) <u>1-24</u> is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.	vn from consideration.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some colon None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. **Copies of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Application Papers						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. **Attachment(s) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access applicant may not request that any objection to the consequence of the cons	epted or b) objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
a) ☑ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☑ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. **Attachment(s) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 1 Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5 Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) Other:	 a)						
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Attachment(s)						
	Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P	te				

Application/Control Number: 09/928,881 Page 2

Art Unit: 2186

DETAILED ACTION

1. This Office Action is taken in response to Applicants' Amendments and Remarks filed on may 9, 2006 regarding application 09/928,881 filed on August 13, 2001.

2. Claims 1-24 are pending in the application under consideration.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 4. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 5. Claims 1-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- 6. As to independent claim 1, it recites the limitation of "creating a new object in said volatile memory using said object description from said segment and <u>saving a new address</u> of <u>said new object</u> in said second list in volatile memory;" and "<u>determining said new address</u> of objects referenced by the object and setting said new address as the reference in said new object."

The first portion of the limitations states "saving a new address of said new object in said second list in volatile memory." Thus, the new address is associated with the newly created object.

The second portion of the limitations, however, states "determining said new address of objects referenced by the object ... " This raises the following two issues:

First, the new address has been saved according to the first portion of the limitations ("saving a new address of said new object ... "), thus it is not clear why it

Art Unit: 2186

would be necessary to "<u>determining said new address</u> ... " as recited in the second portion of the limitations. The element of "determining said new address" appears to be redundant, to say the least.

Second, the new address is associated with the newly created object according to the first portion of the limitations, and yet the second portion of the limitations further indicates that "said new address" is associated with "objects referenced by the object " ("determining said new address of objects referenced by the object ... "). Thus, the said new address is being associated to both "the new object" and "those objects referenced by the new object." This leads to the erroneous situation where the same said new address being assigned to two different sets of objects.

Claims 2-13 are rejected by virtue of their dependency from claim 1.

7. As to independent claim 14, which recites, among other things, the limitation of "allocating segments in said volatile memory;" "wherein the blocks are portions of said segments;" and "allocating a block of one of said segments." This leads to the following issue:

Since the segments are allocated first, and the blocks are portions of said segments, the event of "allocating segments" would also allocate the blocks because the blocks are portions of said segments as recited by the claim. Thus the second limitation of "allocating a block of one of said segments" appears to be redundant, to say the least.

Claim 14 also recites, among other things, the limitation of "creating a first object description for a first object by saving values owned by the first object of the variables

belonging to its class into said allocated blocks and saving a new address of said first object in the second list;" "determining the address of a second object description of a second object referenced in said first object;" and "setting the address of said respective object description as the reference in the created object description." This leads to the following issue:

The first portion of the limitations clearly states that the new address is associated with the first object, and not the first object description ("saving a new address of said first object in the second list").

The second portion of the limitations associates an address with the second object description ("determining the address of a second object description of a second object referenced in said first object".

The third portion of the limitations then states "setting the address of said respective object description ... ")

However, the address of the first object description is not defined up to this point, because the first portion of the limitations clearly states that the new address is associated with the first object, and not the first object description.

Claims 15-23 are rejected by virtue of their dependency from claim 14.

8. As to independent claim 24, first, it recites, among other things, the limitation of "allocating in <u>said volatile memory</u> segments;" and the term "<u>said volatile memory</u>" lacks antecedent basis.

Second, it also recites, among other things, the limitation of "storing said second list on said persistent storage;" "storing the segments referenced by said first list on said

persistent storage;" and "storing said first list on said persistent storage." But the term "said persistent storage" lacks antecedent basis.

Third, it also recites, among other things, the limitation of "allocating in said volatile memory segments;" and "allocating a block of one of said segments." This leads to the following issue:

Since the segments are allocated first, and the blocks are portions of said segments, the event of "allocating segments" would also allocate the blocks because the blocks are portions of said segments as recited by the claim. Thus the second limitation of "allocating a block of one of said segments" appears to be redundant, to say the least.

Fourth, it recites the limitation of "<u>creating an object description</u> for an object by saving values owned by the object of the variables belonging to its class into said the allocated block;

adding a new element to said the second list containing the particular reference to said object description;" and the term "the particular reference to said object description" lacks antecedent basis.

The merits of patentability of claims 1-24 are not further analyzed in this Office Action, pending clarifications from Applicants.

Conclusion

- 9. Claims 1-24 are rejected as explained above.
- 8. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Application/Control Number: 09/928,881 Page 6

Art Unit: 2186

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sheng-Jen Tsai whose telephone number is 571-272-4244. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30 - 5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Matthew Kim can be reached on 571-272-4182. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Sheng-Jen Tsai

PIERRE BATAILLE
PRIMARY EXAMINER